

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/694,653	10/23/2000	John Overman	5384/55100	3830
7590 02/27/2004		EXAMINER		
KEITH E GEORGE, ESQ.			KRIZEK, JANICE LEE	
	, WILL & EMERY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
600 13TH STREET, N.W.		ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096		3652		

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	09/694,653	9/694,653 OVERMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	T ⁻		
	Janice L. Krizek	3652	NIGI		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 N	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN g date of this communication, even if tim	ONTH(S) FROM y be timely filed 30) days will be considered tim is from the mailing date of this IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nety.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matter	• •	ne merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition and accomposition accomposition and accomposition accompositio	wn from consideration. r election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 (
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Apprinty documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this Nationa	al Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	Paper No(s)/f		TO-152) No./Mail Date 12		

Application/Control Number: 09/694,653

Art Unit: 3652

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 15-50, in Paper No. 11 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that two-way distinctness between Groups I and II has not been demonstrated. This is found persuasive, Groups I and II are joined and an action on claims 1-50 is set forth below.
- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 In the first line of claims 31, 32 and 34, -- assembly-- should be inserted after "quide".

In the first line of claims 43 and 45, "apparatus" should be -- method --.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 11, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 does not set forth a proper step which constitutes the claimed method.

There is no clear antecedent basis for "said small stack" recited in the second line of claims 21 and 22 and in line 4 of claim 22. Note that base claim 15 recites "multiple small stacks" and "said small stacks"; it is not clear which stack of the plurality of small stacks is being claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/694,653

Art Unit: 3652

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claims 1-7 and 15-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Belgian Patent No. 628233.

The Belgian patent discloses an autotraying system including means 172, 180 for engaging a tray 170.

7. Claims 8-14 and 40-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hendrickson et al.

Art Unit: 3652

- 8. The cited prior art shows article handling methods and apparatus.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Janice Krizek whose telephone number is (703) 308-2026. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 11:30 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis, can be reached at (703) 308-3248. The central official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Janice L. Krizek

Youde Thusek

2/23/2004

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3600